

# **DIPE FEE TRANSMITTAL** **for FY 2002**

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

**TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT** (\$) 180

## **Complete if Known**

Application Number 09/011.634  
Filing Date April 3, 1998  
First Named Inventor M. Aronhime et al.  
Examiner Name M.E. Grendzynski  
Group / Art Unit 1774  
Attorney Docket No. 11920/56601

**RECEIVED**  
APR 9 2002  
TC 1700

## **METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)**

1. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:

Deposit  
Account  
Number

11-0600

Deposit  
Account  
Name

Kenyon & Kenyon

☒ Charge Any Additional Fee Required  
Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17  
☐ Applicant claims small entity status.  
See 37 CFR 1.27

2. ☐ Payment Enclosed:

☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money Order ☐ Other

## **FEE CALCULATION**

### **1. BASIC FILING FEE**

Large Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Small Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
101	740	201	370	Utility filing fee	
106	330	206	165	Design filing fee	
107	510	207	255	Plant filing fee	
108	740	208	370	Reissue filing fee	
114	160	214	80	Provisional filing fee	

**SUBTOTAL (1)**

(\$ 0)

### **2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES**

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid	
20 **	0	X	0	
Independent Claims	3 **	0	X	0
Multiple Dependent		X	0	

Large Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Small Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description
103	18	203	9	Claims in excess of 20
102	84	202	42	Independent claims in excess of 3
104	280	204	140	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid
109	84	209	42	** Reissue independent claims over original patent
110	18	210	9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent

**SUBTOTAL (2)**

(\$ 0)

\*\*or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above

## **FEE CALCULATION (continued)**

### **3. ADDITIONAL FEES**

Large Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Small Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.	
139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for reexamination	
112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	
116	400	216	200	Extension for reply within second month	
117	920	217	460	Extension for reply within third month	
118	1,440	218	720	Extension for reply within fourth month	
128	1,960	228	980	Extension for reply within fifth month	
119	320	219	160	Notice of Appeal	
120	320	220	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
121	280	221	140	Request for oral hearing	
138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
140	110	240	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
141	1,280	241	640	Petition to revive - unintentional	
142	1,280	242	640	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
143	460	243	230	Design issue fee	
144	620	244	310	Plant issue fee	
122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
123	50	123	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (q)	
126	180	126	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	180.00
581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
146	740	246	370	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
149	740	249	370	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
179	740	279	370	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	

Other fee (specify)

\*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

**SUBTOTAL (3)**

(\$ 180)


## **SUBMITTED BY**

## **Complete (if applicable)**

Name (Print/Type)	Amy Hulini	Registration No. Attorney/Agent)	41,556	Telephone	(202) 220-4369
Signature				Date	April 4, 2002

**WARNING:** Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

#22  
4-9-02  
D.J.

In regard to the application of: Marc ARONHIME et al.

Serial No : 09/011,634

Group Art Unit: 1774

Filed : April 3, 1998

Examiner: M. GRENDZYNSKI

For : Intermediate Transfer Blanket and Method of Producing the Same

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED  
APR 9 - 2002  
TC 1700

Sir:

Applicants provide an English-language translation of JP 57-19753, attached to the reference, which the Examiner cited in the Office Action dated June 18, 2001. The translation was received on March 29, 2002 from our Japanese associate.

Applicants never received a Form PTO-1449, filed in a Supplementary Information Disclosure Statement, initialed by the Examiner in return. Applicants are resubmitting the form herewith and respectfully requesting that the items listed thereon be initialed by the Examiner to ensure that they appear on the face of the patent issuing on the present application. Applicants assume that the art has already been considered by the Examiner in accordance with MPEP 1893.03.

Furthermore, U.S. Patent No. 4,600,673 was cited by the Examiner in the Office Action dated June 18, 2001. This art was not listed on the Notice of References Cited (PTO-892). In light of this, applicants cite it in the PTO-1449 form to be initialed to ensure that it appears on the face of the patent issuing on the present application.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to review and consider this art, in accordance with MPEP 2001.06, MPEP 1893.03(e) and MPEP 1893.03(g) and to indicate in the first office action that he has considered this art. Additionally, the Examiner is respectfully requested to cite those prior art publications mentioned in this application which the Examiner considers to be material or relevant to the present claims.

Further, in order to comply with discretionary regulations 37 C.F.R. §1.97 and §1.98, attached is Form PTO-1449 listing the cited art. Also attached is a copy<sup>1</sup> of the art. This art

<sup>1</sup> To the extent that a document is listed and no copy of same is attached, then such document is not at the present time available to the undersigned or is available in the national stage file. If a listed document is not in the English language and an English translation is readily available, such translation is also attached; if translation is not attached, it is not readily


contains information which the examiner may consider to be important in deciding whether to allow the present application to issue as a patent.

In accordance with MPEP Section 609 it is requested that each document cited [including any mentioned in Applicants' specification which is not repeated on the attached (or prior) PTO-1449 form(s)] be given thorough consideration and be cited of record in the prosecution history of the present application by initialing on the PTO-1449 form, so that it will appear on the face of the patent issuing on the present application, even if the Examiner does not consider it sufficiently pertinent to use in a rejection, or otherwise does not believe that the guidelines for citation have been fully complied with.

The present Information Disclosure Statement is being submitted in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.56 as an Examiner might consider any cited document important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent, but the citation of each document is not to be construed as an admission that such document is necessarily relevant or prior art. No representation is intended that the cited documents represent the results of a complete search, and it is anticipated that the Examiner in the normal course of examination, will make an independent search and will determine the best prior art consistent with 37 C.F.R. §1.104(a), and in the course of such search will review for relevance every document cited on the attached form.

Early and favorable consideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
Marc ARONHIME et al.



Paul FENSTER,  
Registration No. 33,877

April 2, 2002  
Kenyon & Kenyon  
1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20005-1257

Tel: (202) 220-4369

